

PATENT  
Docket No.: ST02017C1(141-US-C1)  
10/815,410

REMARKS

STATUS SUMMARY

Claims 2-20 are pending in the present application. The Examiner has rejected claims 1-20 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over patent claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,747,596.

These formal matters identified in the Office Action are addressed herein below.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 2-20 are rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,747,596. A previously-submitted terminal disclaimer was rejected because “the person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.”

In response, Applicants file herewith an Establishment of Right of Assignee to Take Action and Revocation and Power of Attorney signed by an officer of the assignee of the present application, SiRF Technology, Inc., together with a properly-executed terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c). In view of this terminal disclaimer, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 2-20 based on double patenting is now overcome, and requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

PATENT  
Docket No.: ST02017C1(141-US-C1)  
10/815,410

NON-PATENT PUBLICATIONS

Copies of the non-patent publications New Fast GPS Code-Acquisition Technique Using FFT, Electronics Letters, Vol. 27, Number 2, pages 158-160 (1990), and Novel Fast GPS/GLONASS Code-Acquisition Technique Using Low Update Rate FFT, Electronics Letters, Vol. 28, Number 9, pages 863-865 (1992), requested by the Examiner are submitted with this Response.

PATENT  
Docket No.: ST02017C1(141-US-C1)  
10/815,410

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the above remarks and the documents submitted with this Response, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in proper condition for allowance, and an early notice to such effect is earnestly solicited.

If any small matter should remain outstanding after the Patent Examiner has had an opportunity to review the above Remarks, the Patent Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned patent attorney in order to resolve these matters and avoid the issuance of another Official Action.

Respectfully submitted,  
Orler et al.

Dated: April 11, 2006

By: Jeffrey C. Wilk  
Jeffrey C. Wilk  
Registration No. 42,227  
Phone: (818) 488-8148  
Fax: (818) 332-4205

The Eclipse Group  
10605 Balboa Blvd., Suite 300  
Granada Hills, CA 91344-6342